## DBE Corporate & Departmental Risks (Planning & Transportation Committee)

## **APPENDIX 2**

**Report Author:** Richard Steele **Generated on:** 19 February 2020

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
CR20 Road Safety  23-Oct-2015 Carolyn Dwyer	Cause: Limited space on the City's medieval street network to cope with the increased use of the highway by vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists within the City of London. Interventions and legal processes take time to deliver safely and effectively  Event: The City Corporation's statutory duties and the measures outlined in the Transport Strategy are not fully and effectively implemented.  Effect:  The number of casualties occurring on the City's streets rises or remains unchanged instead of reducing  The safety and feeling of safety of the City's communities is adversely affected (Corporate Plan Outcome 1)  Physical or mental harm suffered by those involved in collisions and their associates  Economic costs of collisions impact on individuals, City businesses and wider society  The City Corporation's ability to improve road safety is adversely impacted with businesses and/or the public by virtue of a loss of credibility and/or authority  (revised risk description 27/6/19)	Impact	24	The risk assessment is unchanged, reflecting the probability that a fatality is fairly likely to occur while mitigation measures are being implemented.  11 Feb 2020	Likelihood	16	31-Mar- 2022	Constant

Action no, Title,	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
CR20l Road danger reduction and Vision Zero	A programme of projects to reduce road danger on the City's streets including:  • Bank on Safety and All Change at Bank  • RDR engineering programme  • 15mph traffic limit  • Ludgate Circus (lead by TfL)	Delivery of Bank on Safety interim scheme is underway and expected to complete June 2020. Continuing to engage with TfL on improvements to the junction at Bevis Marks/Wormwood and Bishopsgate and the Fenchurch Street/Lombard Street/Gracechurch Street Junction. Preparing 15mph request to DfT, with target submission date of June 2020. Safety improvements to Gresham Street/Old Jewry/Basinghall Street are currently being delivered.	Zahur Khan	11-Feb- 2020	31-Mar- 2022
CR20m Road Danger Reduction campaigns and engagement	Campaigns and engagement activities to encourage safe behaviours and promote safe vehicles, including:  • Active City Network  • User and stakeholder liaison  • Schools programme	Supporting the City of London Police's winter campaign to improve compliance with the 20mph speed limit.	Zahur Khan	11-Feb- 2020	31-Mar- 2022

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & R Score		Risk Update and date of update		get Risk Rating re	&	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
DBE-PP-01 Adverse planning policy context  06-Mar-2015 Paul Beckett	Cause: A desire in Government and others to change the existing planning system in a way which may be detrimental to the City  Event: Changes detrimental to the City are implemented  Impact: Adverse changes cannot be prevented using local planning control	Impact Impact		Both Impact and Likelihood have been reviewed and are unchanged.  Whilst this risk (at 12) is above appetite (8) to reduce the risk to appetite would require increased engagement by the City Corporation's Senior Members with Government, Opposition and the GLA to ensure that national and strategic policy is always appropriate for the City.  We continue to monitor draft regulations to ensure they reflect or adapted to accord with City Corporation priorities.  The City Corporation has made its case on outstanding matters in the Draft London Plan at the Examination in Public earlier this year. The Inspectors' Panel Report has been published and recommended changes are broadly favourable.  The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in July 2018 did not address all the City's concerns and subsequent proposed relaxations of Permitted Development Rights cause further concerns. These have been reiterated to Government in response to the public consultation. A Planning White Paper is due in spring 2020.  The Housing Delivery Test is not appropriate to the City's circumstances. However, it was applied to the City and recent housing delivery has not met Government targets. The City Corporation agreed an Action Plan in July 2019 and a further Action Plan will be prepared in 2020. The City Corporation is discussing difference in housing delivery data with the Government.	<b>5</b>	Impact	12	31-Dec- 2020	Constant

	1 1 1 1 1 1	*			D D :
Action no,	Action description	Latest Note	Action	Latest Note	Due Date

Title,			owner	Date	
DBE-PP-01a Business as usual mitigating controls	(2) continue monitor progress of, and seek to	Whilst this risk (at 12) is above appetite (8) to reduce the risk to appetite would require increased engagement by the City Corporation's Senior Members with Government, Opposition and the GLA to ensure that national and strategic policy is always appropriate for the City.  We continue to monitor draft regulations to ensure they reflect or adapted to accord with City Corporation priorities.  The City Corporation has made its case on outstanding matters in the Draft London Plan at the Examination in Public earlier this year. The Inspectors' Panel Report has been published and recommended changes are broadly favourable.  The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published in July 2018 did not address all the City's concerns and subsequent proposed relaxations of Permitted Development Rights cause further concerns. These have been reiterated to Government in response to the public consultation. A Planning White Paper is due in spring 2020.  The Housing Delivery Test is not appropriate to the City's circumstances. However, it was applied to the City and recent housing delivery has not met Government targets. The City Corporation agreed an Action Plan in July 2019 and a further Action Plan will be prepared in 2020. The City Corporation is discussing difference in housing delivery data with the Government.		14-Feb- 2020	31-Dec- 2020

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
DBE-02 Service/Pipe Subways 02-Dec-2015 Ian Hughes; Giles Radford	Cause: Provide safe access and egress for utilities and maintenance functions, whilst having operatives entering the confined space to undertake checks.  Event: A lack of Oxygen, poisonous gases, fumes and vapour, liquids and solids that suddenly fill spaces, Fire and explosions, hot conditions, Entrapment and falling debris.  Impact: Fatality / Major Injury / Illnesses	Likelihood	8	No further update  27 Nov 2019	Likelihood	8	31-Dec- 2020	Constant

Action no, Title,	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
DBE-02a Business As Usual Mitigations	Confined space working is avoided when possible.  All PPE and other equipment required for a SSOW shall be suitable and sufficient for the tasks identified. The following PPE and equipment shall be provided, as stated in the approved code of practice  All openings are controlled through a central booking system. A subway must not be entered if permission to do so has been refused.  No booking will be granted to parties who are not on the database. If the contractor is not on the database they must seek approval from CoL regarding their works. Once confirmed, the contractors will be added to the system before agreeing access.  All works and operatives entering the pipe subway must comply with the code of practice for access and safe working in local authority subways.  Regular inspections of the structure, covers, condition and asbestos surveys are undertaken.  The Permit to enter form must be completed and contractors checked to ensure they have suitable and sufficient equipment to enter a confined space.  No smoking is allowed at any time.	All business as usual mitigations have been reviewed, they are very much current and continue to work effectively.	Giles Radford	19-Feb- 2020	31-Dec- 2020

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
DBE-DS-01 The District Surveyor's (Building Control) Division becomes too small to be viable  25-Mar-2015 Gordon Roy	Cause: Reduced Income causes the service to be unviable Event: Development market fails to maintain momentum or our market share shrinks Impact: Reduced staffing levels do not provide adequate breadth of knowledge and experience	Impact		The risk is unchanged.  Following investigation of the insurance market we would be unable to obtain the necessary insurance at an acceptable price. As a result, the plans to create of a Local Authority Trading Company have been put on hold and will be reviewed in the light of any changes in the Building Control Regulation regimen that arise following the publication of the Hackett Report following the Grenfell fire (expected by late Summer 2020). The due date for this action has been adjusted to October accordingly.  14 Feb 2020	Likelihood	8	31-Dec- 2020	Constant

Action no, Title,	Action description			Latest Note Date	Due Date
Business as usual mitigating controls	<ol> <li>(1) Continue to provide excellent services [evidenced by customer survey];</li> <li>(2) Maintain client links with key stakeholders;</li> <li>(3) Continue to explore new income opportunities;</li> <li>(4) Continue to undertake cross-boundary working.</li> </ol>		Gordon Roy	14-Feb- 2020	31-Dec- 2020
	Following approval of Summit Group, a Business Plan is being developed and to be presented to members for consideration later this year.	5 5	Gordon Roy	14-Feb- 2020	31-Oct- 2020

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating of Score	&	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating &	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
30-Nov-2018 Annie Hampson	Cause: Disjointed control mechanisms in relation to processing and monitoring S106 agreements.  Event: Failure to implement Audit recommendations.  Effect: Loss of funds; non-compliance with agreements and reporting; potential reputational damage	Impact		The risk has been reviewed and remains unchanged at Amber.  The Audit Review has been worked through and most of the recommendations within it have been implemented.  A \$106/CIL report was presented to the October 2019 Planning & Transportation Committee (P&T). This resulted in a number of questions including public access/transparency and further report confirming the \$106 & CIL governance process was presented to P&T in December.  The Chamberlain's team are continuing to keep separate financial records (including on CBIS).  18 Feb 2020	Impact	4	31-Mar- 2020	Constant

Action no, Title,	Action description	Latest Note		Latest Note Date	Due Date
DBE-PL-06b Ensure sufficient resources are available	Obtain approval for data capture.	A S106/CIL report was presented to the October 2019 Planning & Transportation Committee (P&T). This resulted in a number of questions including public access/transparency and further report confirming the S106 & CIL governance process was presented to P&T in December.  The Exacom system is now acknowledged as representing the best means of achieving public access/transparency and the method of implementing this is being sought. It is anticipated that historic data will be added to Exacom during the summer and the due date for this action has been updated accordingly.	_	18-Feb- 2020	30-Sep- 2020
software supplier &	There is a need to (a) import data from CBIS into Exacom to ensure that it contains up to date expenditure and allocation information; and (b) prepare the necessary budget reports from Exacom.	Due to the mechanisms within the Chamberlain's department whereby expenditure is apportioned to S106 and CIL annually and not in real time the recommendations relating to this in the Internal Audit report are not deliverable at present. This is to be the subject of a discussion between the Chamberlain's finance staff for DBE and Internal Audit to consider if the recommendations need to be reviewed. Once this is complete we will review the need for interaction between Exacom and CBIS and the options for reporting.	_	18-Feb- 2020	30-Sep- 2020

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating & Score R		Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & Score		Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
to the needs/require ments of the	Cause: Staff are badly briefed in relation to the planning development needs of the City as a world business centre  Event: Perception that we are not responsive to the planning development needs of the City as a world business centre  Impact: The City's reputation suffers and we fail to deliver buildings that meet the needs of the City as a world business centre	Impact	6	The risk has been reviewed and is assessed as unchanged. There continues to be uncertainty regarding the wider economic situation and in particular post-Brexit negotiations.  18 Feb 2020	Tikelihood	6	31-Dec- 2020	Constant

Action no, Title,	Action description		Latest Note Date	Due Date
Business as usual mitigating controls				31-Dec- 2020

Risk no, Title, Creation date, Owner	Risk Description (Cause, Event, Impact)	Current Risk Rating	& Score	Risk Update and date of update	Target Risk Rating & S	Score	Target Date	Current Risk score change indicator
and key programmes not delivered	Cause: City of London fail to bid at the appropriate time or City of London lose credibility with TfL or Reduced funding from TfL  Event: TfL funding for Local Investment Plan ceased or significantly reduced  Impact: Unable to deliver highway investment & improvement programmes	Impact	6	The overall score has increased to 6 from 3. The impact has been increased to 2 (Serious). This reflects the deferral of the City Cluster Phase 2 capital bid and the increased reliance on TfL Liveable Neighbourhood funding to deliver transport and public realm improvements in the City Cluster during 2020/21. The likelihood remains unchanged at 3 (possible). This reflects the possibility that TfL may not agree to release additional Liveable Neighbourhood funding ahead of the original funding schedule. The 2020/21 LIP allocation has been confirmed and is as expected.  11 Feb 2020	Likelihood	6	31-Mar- 2020	Increasin g

Action no, Title,	Action description		Action owner	Latest Note Date	Due Date
DBE-TP-03a Annual Spending Submission		2020/21 Annual Spending Submission submitted to TfL. Action dates reset for the 2021/22 submission.		26-Nov- 2019	29-Nov- 2020
DBE-TP-03b TfL meetings	Conduct quarterly meetings with TfL-	1 Com to 3 1 1 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Bruce McVean	25-Nov- 2019	31-Mar- 2020
DBE-TP-03c TfL Bid Process	Submit bid(s) in line with TfL timetable (e.g. Liveable Neighbourhoods)	No bid this year due to onging delivery of City Cluster Liveable Neighbourhood. Participation in future bidding rounds will be kept under review. Action date reset for next year.		26-Nov- 2019	30-Nov- 2020